



ISTANBUL, 8 MAY – 2 JUNE 2000

**PLENARY MEETING****United States of America****PROPOSAL FOR THE WORK OF THE CONFERENCE****PROPOSAL RELATING TO RESOLUTION 86, PLENIPOTENTIARY  
CONFERENCE (MINNEAPOLIS, 1998),  
S5.393 AND RESOLUTION 528****Background information**

At WARC-92, allocations in the range 1-3 GHz were made for sound (audio) broadcasting from satellites. Different allocations were adopted for different regions of the world. The band 2 310-2 360 MHz is allocated to the United States, Mexico and India for this purpose through footnote S5.393. Resolution 86 calls for improving the methods to facilitate the coordination of satellite networks.

As implementation of the 2 310-2 360 MHz band has proceeded, Resolution 528, as applied through footnote S5.393, has proved to be a hindrance to effective coordination of systems that would utilize frequencies in different parts of the entire allocation. Specifically, as a consequence of *resolves* 3, the two countries in Region 2 have encountered unnecessary difficulties in implementing their respective systems due to the 25 MHz restriction.

Given that there are only three countries in the footnote and only two countries named in Region 2, the planning aspect of Resolution 528 is not realistic for this band. Furthermore, the coordination of sound BSS and the complementary terrestrial component is covered by footnote S5.396. Consequently, the proposal is to suppress reference to Resolution 528 in footnote S5.393.

**MOD** USA/12/158

**S5.393** *Additional allocation:* in the United States, India and Mexico, the band 2310-2360 MHz is also allocated to the broadcasting-satellite service (sound) and complementary terrestrial sound broadcasting service on a primary basis. Such use is limited to digital audio broadcasting and is subject to the provisions of Resolution ~~528 (WARC-92)~~.

\* Pursuant to Resolution 26 (Rev.WRC-97) the Secretariat notes that this contribution was received on 17 April 2000.

**Reasons:** Resolution 528 unnecessarily limits the use of the entire resource of the full allocation available to the three countries indicated in this provision. Given the number of countries involved in S5.393, the reference to the Resolution is not necessary. Further, the requirement to coordinate under Resolution 33 remains applicable through S5.396. Therefore, reference to Resolution 528 can be suppressed.

---